# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI

|                  | )                        |
|------------------|--------------------------|
| BELINDA Y. BROWN | )                        |
| Plaintiff,       | )<br>)                   |
|                  | ) Cause No. 4:10-cv-1007 |
| vs.              | )                        |
|                  | ) JURY TRIAL DEMANDED    |
| KRAFT FOODS INC. |                          |
|                  | )                        |
| Defendant.       |                          |
|                  | )                        |
|                  | COMDI AINT               |

### COMPLAINT

Relator Belinda Y. Brown ("Relator"), by and through the undersigned attorneys, for his/her/its Complaint against Defendant Kraft Foods Inc. ("Defendant"), alleges as follows:

- 1. This is a *qui tam* action for false patent marking under 35 U.S.C. § 292.
- 2. As set forth in detail below, Defendant has violated 35 U.S.C. §292(a), by falsely marking articles with expired patents for the purpose of deceiving its competitors and the public into believing that such articles are covered by the falsely marked patents.

# PARTIES, JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 3. Relator Belinda Y. Brown is a natural person and citizen and resident of the Eastern District of Missouri.
- 4. Defendant Kraft Foods Inc., is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of Virginia, having its principal place of business at Three Lakes Drive, Northfield, Illinois 60093.
- 5. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this action under 28 U.S.C. §§1331 and 1338(a).
  - 6. Venue is proper in this District under 28 U.S.C. §§1391(c) and 1395(a), because

Defendant has conducted and continues to conduct business in this District through the sale of its products which are the subject matter of this Complaint to consumers throughout this District.

Upon information and belief, such sales are substantial, continuous, and systematic.

#### THE EXPIRED PATENTS

- 7. U.S. Patent No. Des. 345,695 ("the '695 Patent"), entitled CONTAINER, was filed on September 11, 1992, and issued on April 5, 1994. A true and correct copy of the '695 Patent is attached as Exhibit 1 to this Complaint.
  - 8. The '695 Patent expired no later than April 6, 2008.
- 9. U.S. Patent No. Des. 345,696 ("the '696 Patent"), entitled CONTAINER AND CLOSURE, was filed on September 11, 1992, and issued on April 5, 1994. A true and correct copy of the '696 Patent is attached as Exhibit 2 to this Complaint.
  - 10. The '696 Patent expired no later than April 6, 2008.

#### **COUNT I**

- 11. Relator realleges and incorporates herein by reference the allegations stated in paragraphs 1 through 10 of this Complaint.
- 12. Defendant makes, sells, or has made and has sold, a variety of products for sale to distributors, retailers, and the general consuming public.
- 13. Defendant is a sophisticated business entity with extensive experience in the procurement, acquisition, and litigation of patents in the United States.
- 14. Because of the nature of its business, Defendant actively seeks and maintains a number of patents.
- 15. Defendant claims to own, or have licenses under, a substantial number of patents and patent applications.

- 16. Upon information and belief, Defendant has an in-house legal department which is responsible for Defendant's intellectual property and ensuring compliance with marketing, labeling, and advertising laws.
- 17. As a sophisticated business entity, Defendant knows or reasonably should have known of the requirements and provisions of 35 U.S.C. §292.
- 18. Upon information and belief, Defendant has in the past marked or caused to be marked, and continues to mark or causes to be marked, articles with at least one expired patent.
- 19. Specifically, Defendant has in the past marked or caused to be marked, and continues to mark or causes to be marked, at least the following products with the '695 and '696 Patents:
  - (a) Kool Aid drink mix. Images of the Kool Aid drink mix are attached as Exhibit 3 to this Complaint.
  - (b) Country Time Lemonade drink mix. Images of the Kool Aid drink mix are attached as Exhibit 4 to this Complaint.
- 20. The instances of false marking shown in Exhibits 3 through Exhibit 4 are representative and not exhaustive.
- 21. Defendant knows or reasonably should have known that the purpose of marking a product with a patent number is to put competitors and the public at large on notice of exclusive and legally enforceable rights with respect to that product.
- 22. Defendant knew or reasonably should have known that the articles it marked and continues to mark with the '695 and '696 Patents are not covered by the expired patents marked on its product because expired patents have no monopoly rights.

- 23. Defendant has marked and continues to mark its products with the '695 and '696 Patents for the purpose of deceiving the public into believing that its products are covered by the '695 and '696 Patents.
- 24. Upon information and belief, Defendant intentionally included the '695 and '696 Patents on its products in an attempt to prevent competitors from making and selling the same or similar or competing products.
- 25. Each false marking on Defendant's products is likely to, or at least has the potential to, discourage or deter persons and companies from making or selling similar or competing products.
- 26. By falsely marking its products with patents that have expired, Defendant has benefitted commercially and financially.
- 27. Thus, by marking and continuing to mark articles with the '695 and '696 Patents without a reasonable belief that such articles were covered by the patents, Defendant has injured the sovereign interests of the United States as well as the public interest, and has discouraged competition and innovation in competing products.

### PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Relator prays that this Court enter judgment in their favor and against Defendant as follows:

A. Entering an injunction prohibiting Defendant, and its officers, directors, agents, servants, employees, attorneys, licensees, successors, and assigns, and those in active concert or participation with any of them, from further violating 35 U.S.C. § 292.

- B. Order Defendant to pay a civil monetary fine of \$500 per false marking offense, or an alternative amount as determined by the Court, one-half of which shall be paid to the United States;
- C. Order Defendant to provide an accounting for any falsely marked products not presented at trial and a monetary award set by the Court for such falsely marked articles;
- D. Order Defendant to pay all costs of this action, including attorneys' fees and all available interest; and
- E. Grant Relator any such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and equitable.

Dated: June 1, 2010 KOREIN TILLERY

/s Aaron M. Zigler
Stephen A. Katz
Aaron M. Zigler
One U.S. Bank Plaza
505 N. 7th Street, Suite 3600
St. Louis, Missouri 63101-1625
Telephone: (314) 241-4844
Facsimile: (314) 450-4138
skatz@koreintillery.com
azigler@koreintillery.com

George A. Zelcs
John A. Libra
205 N. Michigan Avenue - Suite 1950
Chicago, Illinois 60601-4269
Telephone: (312) 641-9760
Facsimile: (312) 641-9751
gzelcs@koreintillery.com
ilibra@koreintillery.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff